



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS  
Washington, D.C. 20231  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
08/653,114	05/24/1996	ERIK S FALCK-PEDERSEN	19603/233(CR)	5761

7590 01/30/2003  
HEATHER R. KISSLING  
LEYDIG, VOIT, & MAYER, LTD.  
TWO PRUDENTIAL PLAZA, SUITE 4900  
180 NORTH SETSON  
CHICAGO, IL 60601-6780

EXAMINER

SCHNIZER, RICHARD A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1635

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.

08/653,114

Applicant(s)

Falck-Pedersen

Examiner

Richard Schnizer

Art Unit

1635

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

## Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

## Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 13, 2002
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 9, and 17-22 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above, claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1, 3, 4, 9, and 17-22 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claims \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

## Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on May 24, 1996 is/are a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.  
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

## Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some\* c) ☐ None of:  
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  
\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).  
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

## Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). \_\_\_\_\_ 6) ☐ Other:

Art Unit: 1635

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114***

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/13/02, with a certificate of mailing of 8/2/02, has been entered.

Applicant's amendment, filed 6/5/02, has been entered as Paper No. 43.

Claims 21 and 22 were added as requested.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 17-22 are pending and under consideration in this Office Action.

### ***Abstract***

The abstract is objected to because it contains more than one paragraph and is greater than 150 words in length. See MPEP 608.01(a).

### ***Rejections Withdrawn***

All standing rejections are withdrawn in view of Applicant's amendments which necessitate the following new grounds of rejection.

Art Unit: 1635

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

Claims 1, 9, and 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider et al in view of Huang *et al.*, and Choi *et al.*

Schneider teaches an adenoviral vector comprising a herpes virus thymidine kinase promoter inserted into E3, and oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of E3. See abstract. The vector comprises insertion sites for heterologous genes. See Fig. 1(a) on page 419 which shows that the vector contains a Bam HI site that can be used to place a heterologous gene under the control of the promoter, and other restriction sites into which a second and Pvu II restriction site that can be used for this purpose. The vector also contains the herpes virus thymidine kinase polyadenylation signal downstream of the Bam HI site. See page 418, lines 5-7 of paragraph 7, and Fig. 1(a) on page 419. Schneider also teaches an adenoviral vector comprising a heterologous gene, and a method of expressing the gene in a host cell. See page 420, first paragraph.

Schneider does not teach splice acceptor and donor signals positioned between the insertion site and the promoter.

Huang teaches that including an intron in the 5' untranslated portion of the gene to be expressed resulted in a much higher level of gene expression in several cell lines, including 293 (entire document, e.g. Fig. 2).

Choi teaches that incorporation of a generic intron between a promoter and a gene of interest causes 5- to 300-fold increases in transgene expression in mice. See abstract.

Art Unit: 1635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the vector of Schneider by including the intron of either Huang or Choi. One would have been motivated to do so with the reasonable expectation that inclusion of the intron would result in a vector providing improved gene expression.

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider, Huang, and Choi as applied to claims 1, 9, and 17-19, 21, and 22, above, and further in view of Fang et al (Hepatology (1989) 10(5): 781-787).

The teachings of Schneider, Huang, and Choi are summarized above and can be combined to render obvious an adenoviral vector comprising an expression cassette containing a herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter, an intron, a gene insertion site, and a polyadenylation signal, wherein the expression cassette is oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of the adenoviral E3 region.

These references do not teach a vector containing the mouse CMV promoter.

Fang teaches that the mouse CMV promoter is more active than the herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the mouse CMV promoter of Fang for the tk promoter of Schneider because Fang teaches that the CMV promoter is more active than the tk promoter, so one could have reasonably expected improved expression.

Art Unit: 1635

Thus the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider, Huang, and Choi as applied to claims 1, 9, and 17-19, 21, and 22, above, and further in view of Kaufman (US Patent 4,740,461, issued 4/26/88).

The teachings of Schneider, Huang, and Choi are summarized above and can be combined to render obvious an adenoviral vector comprising an expression cassette containing a herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter, an intron, a gene insertion site, and a herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase polyadenylation signal, wherein the expression cassette is oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of the adenoviral E3 region.

These references do not teach a vector containing the mouse beta globin polyadenylation signal.

Kaufman teaches expression vectors for eukaryotic cells, noting that eukaryotic polyadenylation sites are well known and that "[e]xemplary polyadenylation sequences may be obtained from mouse beta-globin, simian virus 40 late or early region genes, etc." See column 8, lines 6-14. Thus Kaufman suggests the use of the mouse beta-globin polyadenylation site, and indicates that it is an art recognized equivalent to other polyadenylation sites.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute the mouse beta-globin polyadenylation signal of Kaufman for the polyadenylation signal of Schneider because polyadenylation signals are art-recognized

Art Unit: 1635

equivalents which perform the same function. MPEP 2144.06 indicates that it is obvious to substitute art-recognized equivalent components for each other, and an "express suggestion to substitute one equivalent component or process for another is not necessary to render such substitution obvious. In re Fout, 675 F.2d 297, 213 USPQ 532 (CCPA 1982).

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider, Huang, and Choi as applied to claims 1, 9, and 17-19, 21, and 22, above, and further in view of Stratford-Perricaudet et al (1992).

The teachings of Schneider, Huang, and Choi are summarized above and can be combined to render obvious an adenoviral vector comprising an expression cassette containing a herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter, an intron, a gene insertion site, and a polyadenylation signal, wherein the expression cassette is oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of the adenoviral E3 region.

These references do not teach a method of delivering a heterologous gene to an animal heart in vivo.

Stratford-Perricaudet teaches a method delivering a beta-galactosidase gene to an animal heart in vivo by use of an adenoviral vector. See abstract. The vector of Stratford-Perricaudet comprises a heterologous promoter and an insertion site for a heterologous gene. lacks an intron between the heterologous promoter and the heterologous gene insertion site. See Fig. 1 on page 627.

Art Unit: 1635

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to insert the beta galactosidase gene of Stratford-Perricaudet into the expression vector of Schneider, Huang, and Choi, and to administer the vector to an animal heart in vivo as taught by Stratford-Perricaudet. One would have been motivated to do so because the vector of Schneider, Huang, and Choi comprises an intron between the promoter and the heterologous gene insertion site, and one could reasonably expect to obtain improved gene expression with this vector, in view of the teachings of Huang and Choi.

Thus the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Schneider, Huang, and Choi as applied to claims 1, 9, and 17-19, 21, and 22, above, and further in view of Fields (In Fundamental Virology, Raven Press, New York, 1990).

The teachings of Schneider, Huang, and Choi are summarized above and can be combined to render obvious an adenoviral vector comprising an expression cassette containing a herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase promoter, an intron, a gene insertion site, and a polyadenylation signal, wherein the expression cassette is oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of the adenoviral E3 region.

These references are silent as to whether or not the expression cassette is oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of the adenoviral E1 region.



Art Unit: 1635

Fields teaches that the adenoviral E1 and E3 regions are transcribed in the same direction, therefore the combined references must teach an adenoviral vector in which the expression cassette is oriented oppositely to the direction of transcription of the E1 region. See Fig. 11 on page 795 of Fields.

Thus the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious.

#### *Summary*

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 17-22 are under consideration.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 9, and 17-22 are rejected as obvious.

Art Unit: 1635

*Conclusion*

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner(s) should be directed to Richard Schnizer, whose telephone number is 703-306-5441. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between the hours of 6:20 AM and 3:50 PM. The examiner is off on alternate Fridays, but is sometimes in the office anyway.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Leguyader, can be reached at 703-308-0447. The FAX numbers for art unit 1632 are 703-308-4242, and 703-305-3014. Additionally correspondence can be transmitted to the following RIGHTFAX numbers: 703-872-9306 for correspondence before final rejection, and 703-872-9307 for correspondence after final rejection.

Inquiries of a general nature or relating to the status of the application should be directed to the Patent Analyst Trina Turner whose telephone number is 703-305-3413.

Richard Schnizer, Ph.D.

*Jeffrey Siew*  
JEFFREY SIEW  
PRIMARY EXAMINER  
1/26/03